

EN GARDE! OMNIBUS 3 PROPOSAL SUGGESTS MAJOR CENTRALISING CHANGES TO NEW PROSPECTUS REGULATION

The latest development in the EU prospectus regime feels like the scoring in an Olympic fencing match. In a word, baffling.

The ink is barely dry on the new Prospectus Regulation published in the Official Journal of the European Union on 30 June 2017, following an extensive Consultation and Trilogue process. ESMA, meanwhile, is currently consulting on Level 2 measures - including scrutiny and approval criteria to be followed by national competent authorities (NCAs).

And yet, the Omnibus Regulation proposal (Omnibus 3), published today, suggests significant changes to some key elements of Prospectus Regulation (EU) 2017/1129.

CENTRALISED SCRUTINY AND APPROVAL

Notably, ESMA (and not NCAs) will be the approving authority for certain types of prospectuses from 2019. These include:

A. Prospectuses drawn up by EU entities:

- relating to the admission to trading on a regulated market of non-equity securities which are to be traded only on a regulated market, or a specific segment thereof, to which only qualified investors can have access;
- or
- for asset-backed securities.
- B. Prospectuses drawn up by EU:
- property companies;
- mineral companies;
- scientific research based companies; and
- shipping companies.

C. Prospectuses drawn up by third country issuers in accordance with Article 28 of the Prospectus Regulation. (Article 2(m)(iii) in the definition of Home Member State (HMS), which would have applied to third country issuers, is deleted; the HMS for third country issuers becomes, effectively, ESMA.)

Key issues

 Omnibus 3 proposes centralised approval and scrutiny by ESMA of a number of types of prospectuses

CLIFFORD

CHANCE

EN GARDE! OMNIBUS 3 PROPOSAL SUGGESTS MAJOR CENTRALISING CHANGES TO NEW PROSPECTUS REGULATION

The use of the term "asset backed securities" in the Omnibus 3 proposal is different from and (based on the proposed definition in level 2 legislation) broader than the concept of a "securitisation instrument" introduced by the securitisation regulation. The centralised approval mechanism is therefore likely to apply not only to securitisation instruments but also other capital markets instruments that are asset-backed but do not meet the EU definition of a "securitisation", such as repackaging bonds and certain aircraft finance bonds.

REFERENCE TOOL: BLACKLINE

To give you a better grasp of the changes to the Prospectus Regulation, we have prepared a <u>blackline</u> illustrating the proposed Omnibus 3 changes to Prospectus Regulation (EU) 2017/1129.

TIMING

These suggested changes would take effect in July 2019, when most of the Prospectus Regulation comes into force.

The next step is for the proposals to be discussed by the European Parliament and the Council.

RATIONALE

Finally, note that the Prospectus Regulation is not the only recent Regulation to be impacted by such centralisation. The 283 pages in the Omnibus 3 proposal also include changes to MiFID2, the Benchmark Regulation and others.

The reason for such centralisation – not only for prospectuses, but in other areas, too - is clearly stated in the proposal: "... to reap the full potential of internationally integrated financial markets while ensuring that cross-border risks between the EU and the rest of the world can be monitored and managed effectively. The ESAs have a key role to play in this regard....".

In the context of the Prospectus Regulation, specifically, the rationale given for this change is to avoid regulatory arbitrage between competent authorities and to acknowledge the complexity of many of these products.

USEFUL LINKS

- Omnibus 3 proposal 20 September 2017
- European Commission Press Release 20 September 2017
- Accompanying <u>Q&A</u> 20 September 2017
- Prospectus Regulation (EU) 2017/1129
- Clifford Chance Financial Markets Toolkit
- <u>Clifford Chance Prospectus Directive and Transparency Directive Topic Guide</u>

LONDON CONTACTS

Stewart Dunlop Partner

T +44 20 7006 2787 E Stewart.Dunlop @cliffordchance.com

Kevin Ingram Partner

@cliffordchance.com

Andrew Bryan PSL

T +44 20 7006 2829 E Andrew.Bryan @cliffordchance.com

T +44 20 7006 2416 E Kevin.Ingram

Julia Machin PSL

T +44 20 7006 2370 E Julia.Machin @cliffordchance.com

Simon Thomas Partner

T +44 20 7006 2926 E Simon Thomas @cliffordchance.com

Jessica Walker PSL

T +44 20 7006 2880 E Jessica.Walker @cliffordchance.com This publication does not necessarily deal with every important topic or cover every aspect of the topics with which it deals. It is not designed to provide legal or other advice.

www.cliffordchance.com

Clifford Chance, 10 Upper Bank Street, London, E14 5JJ

© Clifford Chance 2017

Clifford Chance LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC323571

Registered office: 10 Upper Bank Street, London, E14 5JJ

We use the word 'partner' to refer to a member of Clifford Chance LLP, or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications

If you do not wish to receive further information from Clifford Chance about events or legal developments which we believe may be of interest to you, please either send an email to nomorecontact@cliffordchance.com or by post at Clifford Chance LLP, 10 Upper Bank Street, Canary Wharf, London E14 5JJ

Abu Dhabi • Amsterdam • Bangkok • Barcelona • Beijing • Brussels • Bucharest • Casablanca • Dubai • Düsseldorf • Frankfurt • Hong Kong • Istanbul • Jakarta* • London • Luxembourg • Madrid • Milan • Moscow • Munich • New York • Paris • Perth • Prague • Rome • São Paulo • Seoul • Shanghai • Singapore • Sydney • Tokyo • Warsaw • Washington, D.C.

*Linda Widyati & Partners in association with Clifford Chance.

Clifford Chance has a co-operation agreement with Abuhimed Alsheikh Alhagbani Law Firm in Riyadh.

Clifford Chance has a best friends relationship with Redcliffe Partners in Ukraine.

F 0 R D HANC С Ε