Briefing note July 2016

European Commission and Japan Fair Trade Commission agree to update their cooperation agreement, facilitating information exchange during investigations

On 15 March 2016, the EU Commissioner for Competition, Margrethe Vestager, and Japan Fair Trade Commission Chairman, Kazuyuki Sugimoto, agreed to start preparations for negotiating an update to the existing EU/Japan Cooperation Agreement on Anticompetitive Activities. If the revisions follow the model set by other recent cooperation agreements, the authorities will be able to exchange confidential information without the consent of the provider.

Tell one, tell all

The aim of the negotiations, according to a statement from the European Commission ("EC"), will be to allow for the exchange of evidence obtained during investigations of related conduct.

Commissioner Vestager said: "For more than a decade now, the European Commission and the Japan Fair Trade Commission have benefitted from a strong relationship based on our existing Cooperation Agreement. Today, Chairman Kazuyuki Sugimoto and I have committed to take the cooperation to the next level by preparing the necessary steps to enable the two authorities to exchange evidence during common investigations."

The update to the Cooperation Agreement could have a potentially significant impact, having regard to the innovative information exchange provisions in recently concluded bilateral cooperation agreements, such as between the EU and Switzerland and between Japan and Australia.

The current EU/Japan Cooperation Agreement

The existing Cooperation Agreement, enacted in July 2003, provides for mutual notification of enforcement activities affecting each other's important interests, for one party to ask the other to investigate anticompetitive conduct in its jurisdiction that may harm interests in the territory of the requesting party, to ask one another to take into account at all stages of enforcement the important interests of the other party, as well as to render assistance and to consider coordination of enforcement activities (where the authorities are pursuing investigations into related matters).

Bilateral cooperation agreements relating to antitrust issues typically involve an agreement to share information between antitrust

Key issues

- How does the current cooperation arrangement work?
- Will the revised cooperation arrangement allow for the exchange of confidential information of a party without its consent? If so, what safeguards might be included?
- What are the implications for parties subject to EU and Japanese investigations?
- How will the position change if Japan introduces the possibility of fine reductions for cooperation?

authorities, pursuant to certain aspects of their cooperation. Such bilateral cooperation agreements usually entail safeguards with respect to sharing confidential information, such as requiring a waiver from the undertaking that has provided the information, as is the case with the current Cooperation Agreement between Japan and the EU.

The US/EU antitrust cooperation agreement, concluded in 1991, similarly prevents the EC and US antitrust agencies from exchanging confidential information in the absence of express waivers from the source of any information. The EU's cooperation agreements with Canada and Korea also include these limitations on the exchange of confidential information, as does the US/Japan antitrust cooperation agreement.

"Second-Generation" cooperation agreements

Certain more recently concluded bilateral cooperation agreements facilitate inter-agency information exchange by permitting competition agencies to exchange confidential information they have obtained in their respective investigations, without a waiver. These are referred to as "second-generation" cooperation agreements.

For example, the EU and Switzerland concluded a bilateral cooperation agreement in May 2013, permitting confidential information to be shared between the agencies without an undertaking's consent. The EC press release stated in respect of the information exchange provisions of the agreement with Switzerland: "[s]uch an advanced form of cooperation between competition authorities is an innovation in a bilateral cooperation agreement."

Japan and Australia entered into a similar second-generation

cooperation agreement in April 2015, in which they agreed that the Japan Fair Trade Commission ("JFTC") and Australian competition authority ("ACCC") would share information, including confidential information. In the statement announcing the agreement, the ACCC chairman, Rod Sims, noted, "[t] his is the first time the JFTC has concluded an agreement that will enable the JFTC to share confidential information without getting a waiver."

It is to be expected that the trend towards second-generation cooperation agreements will continue, in particular in light of the OECD's September 2014 Recommendation concerning cooperation in competition investigations, recommending the adoption of legal provisions that allow the exchange of confidential information between competition authorities without the need to obtain prior consent from the source of the information.

Against this background, the announcement that the JFTC and the EC will seek to update the information exchange provisions of the existing Cooperation Agreement can certainly be seen as a signal that the parties intend to move towards a second-generation cooperation agreement.

Comments on the move towards a second-generation agreement by the EU and Japan

Facilitating the exchange of confidential information between the EU and Japan may be partly in response to the need to ensure closer cooperation with respect to cartel investigations. Undertakings under investigation for cartel conduct may be less likely to provide voluntary

confidentiality waivers permitting agencies to share information with other agencies, than in other types of investigations (e.g., mergers). Indeed, the EC noted in its 2000 Report on Competition Policy that the inability to share confidential information without a waiver was the reason for less frequent EU/US inter-agency contact relating to cartel investigations, compared to other types of investigations. Thus, a secondgeneration cooperation agreement between the EC and the JFTC could give the agencies stronger capabilities to pursue enforcement action against cartel conduct. Moreover, after the EC and the JFTC enter into the second-generation cooperation agreement, the agencies will more easily be able to find out whether undertakings submitted certain evidence only to one authority, which will make it more important for undertakings to be consistent regarding the submission of evidence to the competition authorities.

The decision to update the existing Cooperation Agreement should also be considered in light of the fact that the JFTC is exploring the adoption of a discretionary fine model. Under a discretionary fine model, companies may receive lower fines if they cooperate fully with the competition authority - with one possible measure of cooperation being the extent and nature of evidence supplied by the company under investigation. Once the update to the existing Cooperation Agreement is negotiated and implemented, and if the JFTC were to introduce a discretionary fine model, the JFTC may receive more evidence from companies under investigation. As a result, the EC could also benefit through the updated information exchange provisions.

Although second-generation agreements generally do not require waivers to be obtained from the source of information, secondgeneration agreements do contain other confidentiality safeguards and limit the type of information that can be exchanged without a waiver. In addition, there may be restrictions under national laws regarding the information that can be shared with other competition authorities. It can be expected that the updated EU/Japan agreement will also reflect such limitations and safeguards. For example, under Japanese law, the JFTC cannot provide information if doing so is likely to interfere with the proper execution of the Anti-Monopoly Act of Japan or to infringe on the interests of Japan. In this regard, under the cooperation agreement between the JFTC and the ACCC, the JFTC does not intend to provide depositions and information provided by leniency applicants, in order to ensure full cooperation from deposition witnesses and leniency applicants. Similar treatment could be expected under the updated

agreement between the EC and the JFTC.

The EU/Switzerland cooperation agreement (in addition to placing restrictions on the exchange of evidence obtained through leniency procedures) prohibits the exchange of information that would infringe the procedural rights and privileges guaranteed under the laws of the parties, including the right against self-incrimination and the legal professional privilege. There is no concept equivalent to legal professional privilege under Japanese law - there are some protections for confidential information held by lawyers, but materials in the possession of the client are unprotected - and the Japanese Government's advisory panel concluded in 2014 that "it is not appropriate to introduce attorneyclient privilege at the present stage."

Consequently, it would in theory be possible for the JFTC to share information with the EC that would qualify as privileged in the EU. However, it would seem likely that the

updated EU/Japan agreement would seek to account for this and formulate limitations with similar effects as the EU/Switzerland cooperation agreement.

Authors



Dieter Paemen
Partner
T: +32 2533 5012
E: dieter.paemen
@cliffordchance.com



Michelle Mizutani Counsel T: +81 3 5561 6646 E: michelle.mizutani @cliffordchance.com



Masafumi Shikakura Senior Associate T: +81 3 5561 6323 E: masafumi.shikakura @ cliffordchance.com



Milena Robotham Associate T: +32 2533 5918 E: milena.robotham @ cliffordchance.com

Global Antitrust Contacts

Chair: Thomas Vinje

Australia

4

Dave Poddar

+61 28922 8033

dave.poddar@cliffordchance.com

Tony Reeves +32 2 533 5943

tony.reeves@cliffordchance.com

Dieter Paemen

+32 2533 5012

dieter.paemen@cliffordchance.com

Thomas Vinje +32 2 533 5929

thomas.vinje@cliffordchance.com

China

Richard Blewett

+86 10 6535 2261 richard.blewett@cliffordchance.com

Yong Bai +86 10 6535 2286

yong.bai@cliffordchance.com

Czech Republic

Alex Cook

+420 222 555 212

alex.cook@cliffordchance.com

Patrick Hubert

+33 1 4405 5371 patrick.hubert@cliffordchance.com

Emmanuel Durand

+33 1 4405 5412

emmanuel.durand@cliffordchance.com

Michel Petite

michel.petite@cliffordchance.com

Germany

Joachim Schütze

+49 211 43555547 joachim.schuetze@cliffordchance.com

Marc Besen

+49 211 43555312

marc.besen@cliffordchance.com

Hong Kong

Emma Davies

+852 2825 8828

emma.davies@cliffordchance.com

+852 2826 3403

angie.ng@cliffordchance.com

Indonesia

Linda Widyati

+62 212988 8301

linda.widyati@cliffordchance.com

Luciano Di Via

+39 064229 1265 luciano.divia@cliffordchance.com

Japan

Masafumi Shikakura

+81 3 5561 6323

masafumi.shikakura@cliffordchance.com

The Netherlands

Frances Dethmers

+32 2 533 5043 frances.dethmers@cliffordchance.com

Iwona Terlecka +48 22 429 9410

iwona.terlecka@cliffordchance.com

Romania

Nadia Badea +40 21 66 66 100

nadia.badea@badea.cliffordchance.com

Russia

Torsten Syrbe

+7 495 725 6400 torsten.syrbe@cliffordchance.com

Saudi Arabia

Omar Rashid

+966 11481 9720

omar.rashid@cliffordchance.com

Singapore

Harpreet Singh +65 6661 2028

harpreet.singh@cliffordchance.com

Nish Shetty +65 6410 2285

nish.shetty@cliffordchance.com

Valerie Kong +65 6410 2271

valerie.kong@cliffordchance.com

Spain

Miguel Odriozola

+34 91 590 9460 miguel.odriozola@cliffordchance.com

Miquel Montañá

miquel.montana@cliffordchance.com

Thailand

Andrew Matthews

+66 2 401 8800

andrew.matthews@cliffordchance.com

Turkey Itir Çiftçi

+90 212339 0077 itir.ciftci@cliffordchance.com

United Arab Emirates

Mike Taylor +971 43620 638 mike.taylor@cliffordchance.com

United Kingdom

Alex Nourry +44 20 7006 8001

alex.nourry@cliffordchance.com

Jenine Hulsmann

+44 20 7006 8216

ienine.hulsmann@cliffordchance.com

Elizabeth Morony +44 20 7006 8128

elizabeth.morony@cliffordchance.com

Greg Olsen +44 20 7006 2327

greg.olsen@cliffordchance.com

+44 20 7006 1468

matthew.scully@cliffordchance.com

Luke Tolaini

-44 20 7006 4666 luke.tolaini@cliffordchance.com

United States

Timothy Cornell

+1 202 912 5220 timothy.cornell@cliffordchance.com

Robert Houck

robert.houck@cliffordchance.com

This publication does not necessarily deal with every important topic or cover every aspect of the topics with which it deals. It is not designed to provide legal or other advice.

Clifford Chance, 10 Upper Bank Street, London, E14 5JJ © Clifford Chance 2016

Clifford Chance LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC323571

Registered office: 10 Upper Bank Street, London, E14 5JJ

We use the word 'partner' to refer to a member of Clifford Chance LLP, or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and

qualifications

If you do not wish to receive further information from Clifford Chance about events or legal developments which we believe may be of interest to you, please either send an email to nomorecontact@cliffordchance.com or by post at Clifford Chance LLP, 10 Upper Bank Street, Canary Wharf, London E14 5JJ

www.cliffordchance.com

Abu Dhabi

Amsterdam

Bangkok

Barcelona

Beijing

Brussels

Bucharest

Casablanca

Doha

Dubai

Düsseldorf

Frankfurt

Hong Kong

Istanbul

Jakarta*

London

Jakarta*

London

Dubai

Düsseldorf

Frankfurt

Hong Kong

Istanbul

Jakarta*

London

London Luxembourg

Madrid

Milan

Moscow

Munich

New York

Paris

Perth

Prague

Riyadh

Rome

São Paulo

São Paulo

Sangapai

Singapore

Sydney

Tokyo

Warsaw

Lixembourg

Marsaw

Marsaw Washington, D.C.

*Linda Widyati & Partners in association with Clifford Chance.

Clifford Chance has a best friends relationship with Redcliffe Partners in Ukraine.