Sea of Change Regulatory reforms – charting a new course

ESMA advises European Commission on equivalence of non-EU clearing and derivatives rules

October 2013

C L I F F O R D C H A N C E





Contents

Introduction	3
Summary table	4
Notes	5
EMIR framework for equivalence assessments	8
Application of equivalence assessments	9
Glossary	10
Contacts	11

This document is not intended to be comprehensive or to provide legal advice. For more information, speak to one of the lawyers named below or your usual Clifford Chance contact.

ESMA advises European Commission on equivalence of non-EU clearing and derivatives rules

ESMA advises European Commission on Regulatory reforms equivalence of non-EU clearing and derivatives rules

ESMA has delivered technical advice to the Commission on the equivalence of non-EU regulatory regimes for the purposes of EMIR:

- On 2 September 2013, covering the US, Japan, Australia, Hong Kong, Singapore, Switzerland;
- On 1 October 2013, covering Canada, India and South Korea with supplemental advice on Australia, Hong Kong, Singapore and Switzerland.

The advice covers the equivalence of the regulatory regimes in those states with respect to:

- Central counterparties (Article 25(6) EMIR) all states;
- **Trade repositories** (Article 75(1) EMIR) the US, Australia, Hong Kong and Singapore;
- Duplicative or conflicting rules on clearing, reporting, non-financial counterparty and risk mitigation (Article 13(2) EMIR) the US, Japan, Australia, Hong Kong, Switzerland and Canada.

ESMA was not asked to advise whether any non-EU markets are equivalent to a regulated market for the purposes of the definition of OTC derivatives under EMIR.

The following table provides a high level summary of:

- the coverage of the advice delivered;
- the nature of ESMA's findings where it has delivered advice on equivalence.

Next steps

- ESMA has indicated that it has now fulfilled the Commission's mandate. ESMA will need an additional mandate for further advice (e.g. if there are applications to ESMA for recognition by CCPs from jurisdictions not covered by ESMA's advice).
- The Commission is not required to follow ESMA's advice: it will have to determine whether to adopt implementing acts under EMIR determining the equivalence of the relevant non-EU regimes. EMIR does not state a timetable for the adoption of these acts.
- The adoption of implementing acts is a pre-condition to the recognition of non-EU CCPs and trade repositories from these countries and for relief from duplicative and conflicting rules under EMIR when counterparties trade with counterparties from these countries (and the availability of the intra-group exemption).

More information is available on the ESMA website at <u>www.esma.europa.eu</u>

Summary table

	CCPs	Trade repositories	Duplicative or conflicting rules
US	 (conditional equivalence but reciprocity issues) 	✓ (conditional equivalence)	✓ (partial/conditional equivalence)
Japan	 (conditional equivalence) 		✓ (partial/conditional equivalence)
Australia	 (equivalence except ASX equities clearing) 	✓ (equivalence)	✓ (partial/conditional equivalence)
Hong Kong	✓ (conditional equivalence)	✓ (premature to give advice)	✓ (premature to give advice)
Singapore	 (conditional equivalence) 	 (conditional equivalence) 	
Switzerland	 (equivalence) 		✓ (premature to give advice)
Canada			✓ (premature to give advice)
India	 (conditional equivalence; but reciprocity issues) 		
South Korea	 (conditional equivalence; but reciprocity issues) 		
Rest of world			
Key:			
Advice given:	2 September 2013	1 October 2013	No mandate for advice/more advice

ESMA advises European Commission on equivalence of non-EU clearing and derivatives rules

Notes

CCPs

ESMA's advice envisages conditional determinations for all covered countries (other than Australia and Switzerland) because:

- ESMA advises that there are a significant number of areas where there are no local legally binding requirements for CCPs equivalent to those in Title IV EMIR (the CFTC-Commission July 2013 "common path forward" had only identified differences in initial margin coverage for the US).
- ESMA recommends that the Commission considers that CCPs from those countries to be subject to equivalent legally binding requirements if the CCPs adopt internal policies, procedures, etc. incorporating provisions adequately addressing the gaps.
- **ESMA** would only recognise CCPs that have adopted such internal policies, procedures, etc.
- Internal policies, procedures, etc. would only qualify if they cannot be changed without the approval or non-objection of local regulator and if any departure from or failure to implement those policies, procedures, etc. can give rise to enforcement action.

ESMA concludes that for all covered countries:

- CCPs are subject to effective supervision and enforcement;
- The legal framework provides for an equivalent system for the recognition of third country CCPs, except the US (to the extent that the regime relies on no-action letters and otherwise requires full registration for non-US CCPs), India and (in relation to CCPs clearing instruments other than OTC derivatives) South Korea.

Trade repositories

ESMA's advice:

- For the US and Singapore. envisages conditional determinations on a similar basis as stated above for CCPs (because of areas where there are no local legally binding requirements for trade repositories equivalent to those in EMIR). No similar condition is envisaged for Australia.
- For the US, Australia and Singapore, concludes that there is effective supervision and enforcement and adequate guarantees of professional secrecy.

Even if there is an equivalence assessment, ESMA can only recognise a trade repository where an international agreement (a treaty) is in place with the non-EU state on mutual access to information.

No advice given for Japan because ESMA understands no Japanese trade repository intends to apply for recognition.

Duplicative or conflicting rules

ESMA's advice only covers the equivalence of the clearing obligation in Japan and Australia (because it would be premature to address other rules). The advice for the US covers equivalence for all the EMIR obligations referred to in Article 13 EMIR.

For the US, Australia and Japan, ESMA concludes that the local clearing obligation should be considered equivalent if:

- the product subject to the clearing obligation in the EU is also subject to the clearing obligation in the jurisdiction, and
- the counterparty in the jurisdiction is a non-exempted entity, or if exempted it would benefit from an equivalent exemption if established in the EU.

In line with "stricter-rule-applies" approach in the CFTC-Commission "common path forward" and report of the OTC Derivatives Regulators Group (September 2013).

ESMA's conclusions on the US rules corresponding to the following EMIR rules are as follows:

- **Reporting:** not equivalent.
- Timely confirmation: equivalent if EU counterparty transacts with CFTC regulated swap dealer (SD) or major swap participant (MSP) (but the EU reporting obligation would not be disapplied).
- **Portfolio reconciliation:** equivalent if:
 - EU financial counterparty or non-financial counterparty over the clearing threshold (NFC+) transacts with a CFTC regulated SD/MSP and the SD/MSP applies rules for timely confirmation applicable to transactions between SDs/MSPs and
 - Other EU non-financial counterparty (NFC-) transacts with SD/MSP and the SD/MSP applies the US rules applicable to transactions with other counterparties.
- Portfolio compression: equivalent if EU counterparty transacts with CFTC regulated SD/MSP.
- Dispute resolution: not equivalent.
- Margin and capital: cannot be assessed (EU rules not yet adopted).
- **Non-financial counterparties:** Assessment should follow the relevant rule.

ESMA's advice does not reach a specific conclusion as to whether there is an adequate guarantee of professional secrecy or whether the regime is applied in a equitable and non-distortive way for the purposes of Article 13 EMIR.

General

EMIR does not specifically envisage the possibility that the Commission can make a conditional determination of equivalence in relation to the recognition of CCPs and trade repositories (and it may be difficult for non-EU CCPs to meet the conditions specified by ESMA).

In relation to Article 13 (duplicative or conflicting rules):

- **EMIR** does not specifically envisage that the Commission can make an equivalence determination with respect to some of the relevant rules and not others.
- Nor does it specifically envisage that the Commission can make a conditional determination of equivalence.
- However, it may be possible to interpret Article 13(3) so that it only disapplies the relevant EMIR rule where an equivalent duplicative or conflicting rule applies to a counterparty or the transaction (to avoid the creation of loopholes).
- It is unclear whether a partial or conditional determination under Article 13(2) would allow a counterparty to rely on the exemption for intra-group transactions from the clearing or margining obligation under EMIR.
 - But ESMA advises that the Commission should allow transactions between EU and US members of a group to benefit from the exemption in relation to the clearing and (probably subject to the adoption of equivalent margining rules) the margin obligation under EMIR.
 - No similar comment is made in relation to the application of the intra-group exemption for Japan or Australia.

In a number of cases, the country in question is still developing or finalising its relevant regime and therefore ESMA concluded that it was premature to reach a conclusive analysis or deliver technical advice.

EMIR framework for equivalence assessments

CCPs	Article 25(6) EMIR	 The Commission may adopt an implementing act determining: that the legal and supervisory arrangements of a third country ensure that CCPs authorised in that third country comply with legally binding requirements which are equivalent to the requirements laid down in Title IV of EMIR, that those CCPs are subject to effective supervision and enforcement in that third country on an ongoing basis; and that the legal framework of that third country provides for an effective equivalent system for the recognition of CCPs authorised under third-country legal regimes
Trade repositories	Article 75(1) EMIR	 The Commission may adopt an implementing act determining that the legal and supervisory arrangements of the relevant third country ensure that: trade repositories authorised in that third country comply with legally binding requirements which are equivalent to those laid down in EMIR; effective supervision and enforcement of trade repositories takes place in that third country on an ongoing basis; and guarantees of professional secrecy exist, including the protection of business secrets shared with third parties by the authorities, and they are at least equivalent to those set out in EMIR.
Duplicative and conflicting rules	Article 13(2) EMIR	 The Commission may adopt an implementing act declaring that the legal, supervisory and enforcement arrangements of a third country: Are equivalent to the requirements laid down under Articles 4, 9, 10 and 11 EMIR; Ensure protection of professional secrecy that is equivalent to that set out in EMIR; and Are being effectively applied and enforced in an equitable and non-distortive manner so as to ensure effective supervision and enforcement in that third country.

Note:

Article 2(7) EMIR defines an "OTC derivative" as a derivative contract the execution of which does not take place on a regulated market within the meaning of Article 4(1)(14) of MiFID or on a third country market considered equivalent to a regulated market in accordance with Article 19(6) of MiFID. Under Article 19(6) of MiFID a third country market will be considered as equivalent to a regulated market if it complies with equivalent requirements to those established under Title III of MiFID (requirements for regulated markets in the EU).

The Commission is required to publish a list of those markets that are considered equivalent but has not done so. ESMA's Q&A indicate that as a result no non-EU markets are currently considered equivalent for the purposes of EMIR. The Commission has not published a mandate to ESMA seeking advice for this purpose.

Application of equivalence assessments

CCPs Article 25(2) EMIR	Article 25(2) EMIR	 Market participants may only use a third country CCP to satisfy their clearing obligation under EMIR where it has been recognised by ESMA. ESMA may recognise a third country CCP for the purposes of EMIR where the following conditions are met: The Commission has adopted an implementing act on equivalence assessment under Article 25(6); The CCP is authorised in the relevant third country, and is subject to effective supervision and enforcement ensuring full compliance with the prudential requirements applicable in that third country; Cooperation arrangements have been established between ESMA and the relevant competent authorities of the third country; The CCP is established or authorised in a third country that is considered as having equivalent systems for anti-money laundering and combating the financing of terrorism to those of the EU in accordance with the criteria set out in the common understanding between Member States on third-country equivalence under Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 October 2005 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purpose of money laundering and terrorist financing.
Trade repositories Article 75(1) EMIR	Article 75(1) EMIR	 Market participants may only use a third country trade repository to satisfy their reporting obligation under EMIR where it has been recognised by ESMA. ESMA may recognise a third country trade repository where the following conditions are met: The Commission has adopted an implementing act on equivalence under Article 75(1); The third country has entered into an international agreement with the EU under Article 75(2) (regarding mutual access to, and exchange of information on, derivative contracts held in trade repositories which are established in that third country, so that EU authorities have immediate and continuous access to all the information needed for the exercise of their duties); The third country has entered into cooperation arrangements under Article 75(3) to ensure that EU authorities (including ESMA) have immediate and continuous access to all the necessary information .
Duplicative and conflicting rules	Article 13(3) EMIR	Where the Commission has adopted an implementing act on equivalence under Article 13(2) in relation to a third country, counterparties entering into a transaction subject to EMIR shall be deemed to have fulfilled the obligations contained in Articles 4, 9, 10 and 11 where at least one of the counterparties is established in that third country.
Intra-group transactions	Article 3(1) and (2) EMIR	Intra-group transactions between an EU counterparty and a counterparty in a third country are only exempt from the clearing and margin obligations if the Commission has adopted an implementing act on equivalence under Article 13(2) on that third country and certain other conditions are fulfilled under Articles 3, 4 and 11.

Glossary

- **CCP:** central counterparty
- Clearing threshold: the threshold size of derivatives positions specified for the purposes of determining whether a non-financial counterparty is subject to the clearing requirement under EMIR
- **Commission:** the European Commission
- Derivative: as defined in EMIR, i.e. a financial instrument as set out in points (4) to (10) Section C, Annex 1, MiFID, as implemented by the MiFID implementing regulation
- **EMIR:** the EU regulation on OTC derivatives, central counterparties and trade repositories
- **ESMA:** European Securities and Markets Authority
- Financial counterparty: as defined in EMIR, i.e. an investment firm, credit institution, insurance/reinsurance undertaking, UCITS, pension scheme and alternative investment fund managed by an alternative investment manager, in each case where authorised or registered in accordance with the relevant EU directive
- MiFID: the EU markets in financial instruments directive
- Non-financial counterparty: as defined in EMIR, i.e. an undertaking established in the EU which is not a financial counterparty
- **Third country:** a non-EU state
- **EU:** European Union

Contacts



T: +44 20 7006 1041 M: +44 7785 700236 E: chris.bates@ cliffordchance.com



Senior Associate

T:+44 20 7006 4355 M: +44 7949 443527 E: caroline.dawson@ cliffordchance.com



T: +44 20 7006 4979 M: +44 7977 423944 E: simon.gleeson@ cliffordchance.com



Caroline Meinertz Senior Associate





Jeremy Walter Partner



T: +44 20 7006 1718 M: +44 7785 700107 E: habib.motani@ cliffordchance.com

Habib Motani Partner

Chris Bates

Partner



Nick O'Neill Partner

T: +1 212878 3119 M: +44 7798 503501 E: nick.o'neill@ cliffordchance.com



T: +44 20 7006 1103 M: +44 7747 627820 E: Monica.sah@ cliffordchance.com



Simon Gleeson

Partner



T: +44 20 7006 8892 M: +44 7717 693702 E: jeremy.walter@ cliffordchance.com



Worldwide contact information 35^{*} offices in 25 countries

Abu Dhabi

Clifford Chance 9th Floor Al Sila Tower Sowwah Square PO Box 26492 Abu Dhabi United Arab Emirates Tel +971 (0)2 613 2300 Fax +971 (0)2 613 2400

Amsterdam

Clifford Chance Droogbak 1A 1013 GE Amsterdam PO Box 251 1000 AG Amsterdam The Netherlands Tel +31 20 7119 000 Fax +31 20 7119 999

Bangkok

Clifford Chance Sindhorn Building Tower 3 21st Floor 130-132 Wireless Road Pathumwan Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel +66 2 401 8800 Fax +66 2 401 8801

Barcelona

Clifford Chance Av. Diagonal 682 08034 Barcelona Spain Tel +34 93 344 22 00 Fax +34 93 344 22 22

Beijing

Clifford Chance 33/F, China World Office 1 No. 1 Jianguomenwai Daije Chaoyang District Beijing 100004 China Tel +86 10 6535 2288 Fax +86 10 6505 9028

Brussels

Clifford Chance Avenue Louise 65 Box 2 1050 Brussels Belgium Tel +32 2 533 5911 Fax +32 2 533 5959

Bucharest

Clifford Chance Badea Excelsior Center 28-30 Academiei Street 12th Floor, Sector 1 Bucharest, 010016 Romania Tel +40 21 66 66 100 Fax +40 21 66 66 111

Casablanca

Clifford Chance 169, boulevard Hassan 1er Casablanca 20000 Morocco Tel +212 520 132 080 Fax +212 520 132 079

Doha

Clifford Chance QFC Branch Suite B, 30th floor Tornado Tower Al Fundug Street West Bay PO Box 32110 Doha State of Qatar Tel +974 4491 7040 Fax +974 4491 7050

Dubai

Clifford Chance Building 6. Level 2 The Gate Precinct Dubai International Financial Centre PO Box 9380 Dubai United Arab Emirates Tel +971 4 362 0444 Fax +971 4 362 0445

Düsseldorf

Clifford Chance Königsallee 59 40215 Düsseldorf Germany Tel +49 211 43 55-0 Fax +49 211 43 55-5600

Frankfurt Clifford Chance Mainzer Landstraße 46 60325 Frankfurt am Main Germany Tel +49 69 71 99-01 Fax +49 69 71 99-4000

Hong Kong Clifford Chance

28th Floor Jardine House One Connaught Place Hona Kona Tel +852 2825 8888 Fax +852 2825 8800

Istanhul

Clifford Chance Kanyon Ofis Binasi Kat 10 Büyükdere Cad. No. 185 34394 Levent Istanbul Turkev Tel +90 212 339 0001 Fax +90 212 339 0098

Kyiv Clifford Chance

75 Zhylyanska Street 01032 Kyiv Ukraine Tel +380 44 390 5885 Fax +380 44 390 5886

London **Clifford Chance** 10 Upper Bank Street London, E14 5JJ United Kinadom Tel +44 20 7006 1000

Luxembourg Clifford Chance 2-4 place de Paris B.P. 1147 L-1011 Luxembourg Grand-Duché de Luxembourg

Tel +352 48 50 50 1

Fax +352 48 13 85

Fax +44 20 7006 5555

Madrid Clifford Chance Paseo de la Castellana 110 28046 Madrid Spain Tel +34 91 590 75 00 Fax +34 91 590 75 75

Milan

Clifford Chance Piazzetta M.Bossi, 3 20121 Milan Italy Tel +39 02 806 341 Fax +39 02 806 34200

Moscow Clifford Chance UI. Gasheka 6 125047 Moscow Russian Federation Tel +7 495 258 5050 Fax +7 495 258 5051

Munich Clifford Chance Theresienstraße 4-6 80333 Munich Germany Tel +49 89 216 32-0 Fax +49 89 216 32-8600

New York **Clifford Chance** 31 West 52nd Street

New York, NY 10019-6131 USA Tel +1 212 878 8000 Fax +1 212 878 8375

Paris Clifford Chance 9 Place Vendôme CS 50018 75038 Paris Cedex 01 France Tel +33 1 44 05 52 52 Fax +33 1 44 05 52 00

Perth Clifford Chance Level 7, 190 St Georges Terrace Perth, WA 6000 Australia Tel +618 9262 5555 Fax +618 9262 5522

Praque

Clifford Chance Jungmannova Plaza Jungmannova 24 110 00 Prague 1 Czech Republic Tel +420 222 555 222 Fax +420 222 555 000

Rome Clifford Chance Via Di Villa Sacchetti, 11 00197 Rome Italy Tel +39 06 422 911 Fax +39 06 422 91200

São Paulo Clifford Chance Rua Funchal 418 15th Floor 04551-060 São Paulo SP Brazil Tel +55 11 3019 6000 Fax +55 11 3019 6001

Seoul Clifford Chance 21st Floor, Ferrum Tower 66 Sooha-dong Jung-gu, Seoul 100-210 Korea Tel +82 2 6353 8100 Fax +82 2 6353 8101

Shanghai Clifford Chance 40th Floor Bund Centre 222 Yan An East Road Shanghai 200002 China Tel +86 21 2320 7288 Fax +86 21 2320 7256

Singapore

Clifford Chance 12 Marina Boulevard 25th Floor Tower 3 Marina Bay Financial Centre Singapore 018982 Tel +65 6410 2200 Fax +65 6410 2288

Sydney

Clifford Chance Level 16 No. 1 O'Connell Street Sydney NSW 2000 Australia Tel +612 8922 8000 Fax +612 8922 8088

Tokyo

Clifford Chance Akasaka Tameike Tower, 7th Floor 17-7 Akasaka 2-Chome Minato-ku, Tokyo 107-0052 Japan Tel +81 3 5561 6600 Fax +81 3 5561 6699

Warsaw

Clifford Chance Norway House ul. Lwowska 19 00-660 Warszawa Poland Tel +48 22 627 11 77 Fax +48 22 627 14 66

Washington, D.C.

Clifford Chance 2001 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006 - 1001 USA Tel +1 202 912 5000 Fax +1 202 912 6000

Riyadh**

(Co-operation agreement) Al-Jadaan & Partners Law Firm Building 15, The Business Gate King Khaled International Airport Road Cordoba District, Riyadh, KSA. PO Box 3515, Riyadh 11481, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Tel +966 11 250 6500 Fax +966 11 400 4201

* Clifford Chance's offices include a second office in London at 4 Coleman Street, London EC2R 5JJ.

**The Firm also has a co-operation agreement with AI-Jadaan & Partners Law Firm in Riyadh.

Clifford Chance, 10 Upper Bank Street, London, E14 5JJ © Clifford Chance 2013 Clifford Chance LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC323571 Registered office: 10 Upper Bank Street, London, E14 5JJ We use the word 'partner' to refer to a member of Clifford Chance LLP, or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications