

Belgian Federal Budget 2012 – Corporate tax measures (update)

This week, an agreement was reached between the Belgian government parties on the final set of new corporate tax measures in the context of the Belgian Federal Budget 2012. The measures will be put to vote in parliament shortly and are proposed to enter into force as of 1 January 2012.

The final list of measures does not substantially differ from the measures previously announced. However, some very last minute changes to the texts may have an important impact for Belgian corporate tax payers. The update is based on the new texts circulated on Friday 27 January.

Short term capital gains on shares taxable at 25%. Losses on shares remain non-tax deductible

The introduction of a 25% taxation on short term capital gains on shares (sale before one year) is confirmed. Surprisingly, however, capital losses on shares will remain non-tax deductible. This may be problematic for non-regulated stock trading companies who could become taxable on their trading gains, without being able to deduct trading losses. For regulated trading companies (credit institutions, regulated investment companies and brokerage firms) the issue does not arise as these entities are in principle subject to normal profit taxation on their trading gains and losses.

Notional interest deduction remains in place, but is capped

The notional interest deduction (NID) remains in place, but the rate is

capped at 3% (3.5% for SME's) for assessment years 2013 to 2015 (financial years 2012 to 2014).

In addition, the current possibility to carry forward excess NID during seven years is abolished. New excess NID which cannot be used because of insufficient taxable base would hence be lost.

The existing excess NID already carried forward can continue to be used, but the use will be spread in time as follows: the first million of taxable base (after deduction of the tax losses carried forward) can be entirely offset by the existing NID carried forward. The taxable basis above one million can only be offset up to 60%. Under this new limitation, the existing NID carried forward could be used even after the original seven years utilisation period, just so long as to allow the taxpayer to use the NID it would otherwise have used if the new limitations were not applicable. For example: if under the current seven

years utilisation restriction a company would be able to use 100 out of 150 of NID carried forward, then that company will still be able to use the 100 of NID, even if under the new limitations this will take up to 15 years.

New thin-cap restriction of 5:1

A thin capitalisation restriction of 5:1 will be introduced for related party debts. Under the new restriction, interest on related party debts exceeding 5 times the equity of the borrowing company, will no longer be tax deductible.

Yesterday, some very last minute changes have been brought to the texts, mainly to the definition of "equity" and "related party". As these definitions may still change, we will comment on this later.

Contrary to what is applicable in some of the neighbouring countries, there is no minimum safe harbour (i.e. amount of interest that can in any event be deducted), nor is there any netting

with the related party interest income received.

The 5:1 restriction does not apply to publicly issued debt securities. In addition, the leasing and factoring entities of banks and PPP project companies are excluded from the scope of the new restriction.

New general anti-tax avoidance rule

Finally, the Belgian government is proposing a new anti-tax avoidance rule allowing the tax authorities to re-classify a deed or a series of deeds forming a same operation if the choice of the taxpayer to perform such deed(s) is mainly tax driven, even if there are other valid economic reasons. In such case, the tax authorities have the possibility to "redefine" the operation carried out by the taxpayer so as to allow a "correct" tax treatment. In addition, in redefining a transaction the tax authorities would no longer be bound by the legal consequences of the original transaction as carried out by the parties.

This is a very far reaching anti-tax avoidance rule which may have an impact on certain legitimate tax optimisation structures. For some of these tax optimization structures, it might therefore become advisable to ask for an advance tax ruling with the Belgian ruling commission.

Contacts

Thierry Blockerye
Partner

E: thierry.blockerye@cliffordchance.com

Alexandre Ooms
Senior Associate

E: alexandre.ooms@cliffordchance.com

This publication does not necessarily deal with every important topic or cover every aspect of the topics with which it deals. It is not designed to provide legal or other advice.

Clifford Chance, Avenue Louise 65, Box 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

© Clifford Chance LLP 2012

Clifford Chance LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC323571

Registered office: 10 Upper Bank Street, London, E14 5JJ

We use the word 'partner' to refer to a member of Clifford Chance LLP, or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications

www.cliffordchance.com

Abu Dhabi ■ Amsterdam ■ Bangkok ■ Barcelona ■ Beijing ■ Brussels ■ Bucharest ■ Casablanca ■ Doha ■ Dubai ■ Düsseldorf ■ Frankfurt ■ Hong Kong ■ Istanbul ■ Kyiv ■ London ■ Luxembourg ■ Madrid ■ Milan ■ Moscow ■ Munich ■ New York ■ Paris ■ Perth ■ Prague ■ Riyadh* ■ Rome ■ São Paulo ■ Shanghai ■ Singapore ■ Sydney ■ Tokyo ■ Warsaw ■ Washington, D.C

*Clifford Chance has a co-operation agreement with Al-Jadaan & Partners Law Firm in Riyadh.